IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

MONDAY, THE 26TH MARCH 2007 / 5TH CHAITHRA 1929

Crl.MC.No. 952 of 2007()

C.R.NO.33/04 OF EXCISE RANGE OFFICE, VAMANAPURAM
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

PETITIONERS/A1 & A2:

---

1. BHUVANACHANDRAN, S/O. PONNAPPAN,
   RODARIKATHU PUTHENVEETTIL, THEKKADA DESOM
   VEMBAYAM VILLAG, NEDUMANGADU TALUK.

2. PUSHPARAJAN, S/O. LOPPAS, KUPPASIKUDIPURA HOUSE
   VIZHINJAM VILLAGE, NEYYATTINKARA TALUK
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DIST.

BY ADV. SRI.C.C.THOMAS

RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT:

STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY EXCISE INSPECTOR
EXCISE RANGE OFFICE, VAMANAPURAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DIST. BY PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA
ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.GIKKU JACOB

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R.BASANT, J

Crl.M.C.No.952 of 2007

Dated this the 26th day of March, 2007

ORDER

The learned counsel for the petitioners prays, the learned Public Prosecutor does not oppose the said prayer and I am satisfied that in the light of the decision in **State of Kerala and Others v. Unni and another** [2007(1) KLJ (S.C) 97], this prosecution in which the only allegation is that the percentage of Ethyl Alcohol in toddy exceeds the permissible figure cannot be sustained. This Crl.M.C is, in these circumstances, allowed. All proceedings in pursuance of C.R. No.33 of 2004 of Excise Range Office, Vamanapuram, Thiruvananthapuram against the petitioners is hereby quashed.

(R.BASANT, JUDGE)

rtr/-